

Exhibit 10

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 FOR THE NORTHERN OF CALIFORNIA

3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4
5 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT))
6 ANTITRUST LITIGATION,) Master File No.
7) 3:07-cv-05944 SC

8 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)

9)
10 ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS) MDL No. 1917
11)

12
13
14 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY FIGONE

15
16 Held at Winston & Strawn
17 101 California Street, 39th Floor
18 San Francisco, California

19
20 Friday, October 19, 2012

21 10:06 a.m. - 1:56 p.m.
22

23
24
25 REPORTED BY: JAMES BEASLEY, RPR, CA CSR No. 12807

1 including myself, then you can answer, but don't
2 tell her things that you talked to your lawyers
3 about.

4 Why don't you read the question back to
11:38 5 him.

6 (Record read as follows: '

7 Question: "Specific to the Sharp
8 television set, do you have any
9 personal knowledge whether the
10 specific Sharp television set that you
11 purchased in 1999 or 2000 contains a
12 CRT?")

13 THE WITNESS: At that time, no.

14 BY MS. DONOVAN:

11:39 15 Q. At any time?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Do you still have the Sharp television set
18 today?

19 A. No.

11:39 20 Q. Do you have any documents, like an owner's
21 manual or something else, that would verify that the
22 Sharp television set you purchased in 1999 or 2000
23 contains a CRT or not?

24 A. No.

11:39 25 Q. Assuming for a moment that the Sharp

1 television set does contain a CRT --

2 A. Uh-huh.

3 Q. -- do you know who manufactured the CRT
4 inside the Sharp television?

11:39 5 A. No.

6 Q. Is it possible that the CRT was
7 manufactured by Sharp?

8 MR. GRALEWSKI: Object to the form. Calls
9 for speculation.

11:40 10 MS. DONOVAN: You may answer.

11 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

12 BY MS. DONOVAN:

13 Q. Do you know where the CRT was manufactured?

14 A. No.

11:40 15 Q. Do you know where the CRT was first sold?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Is there any way for you to find out who
18 manufactured the CRT that you think was inside the
19 Sharp television?

11:40 20 MR. GRALEWSKI: Object to the form. Vague
21 and ambiguous. Calls for a legal conclusion.

22 If you understand the question, you need to
23 answer. Do you want the question read back to you?

24 THE WITNESS: One more -- read back,
11:41 25 please.